## Approved For Release 2004/09/287: CIA-RDP88-01314R000300400010-8

## Letters to the editor


## The CIA's interest in energy

I would like to correct some of the impressions that your readers might have received from your editorial, "The CIA and the oil shortage" (April 26). It stated that "it came as a shock" that the CIA had "moved into the tricky art of estimating international oil and gas reserves." In fact, the CIA report on the "International Energy Situation" did not estimate the size of world oil and gas reserves but rather projected oil demand and supply to 1985.

Also, it should not be a "shock" that CIA is involved in the strategic considerations of the world energy situation as part of its intelligence mission. The Central Intelligence Agency has been studying international energy problems since its establishment some 30 years ago.

Originally the concentration was on Communist nations, but as the world energy shortage and higher prices developed in the 1970s, our analytical work in this area was extended to cover other parts of the world. We would be derelict if we did not do so.

CIA's role in analyzing world energy trends is well known by U.S. industry, the trade press and international agencies concerned with this subject. Indeed, every other week the CIA issues a widely distributed, unclassified statistical



ADMIRAL TURNER Nothing shocking

survey, "International Oil Develop-ments," through the Document Expediting Project of the Library of Congress.

It is simply not true that the CIA analysis is "almost alone." Most

private and institutional projections of energy supply and demand are quite pessimistic, and in light of our analysis of the USSR and China situations, we would expect them to evidence greater pessimism.

We take exception to the remark that CIA has a "tattered credibil-ity" and is "an easy mark for White House manipulation." Our analysts jealously protect their objectivity, and neither they nor I would accept manipulation, from any! source, including the White House. There is no evidence to the contrary.

I am concerned that at a time when I am trying to make as much of our material available to the public as possible, you raise the question of motives and credibility. The public should have as much objective information as possible on issues such as the energy situation. and we will continue to declassify such reports on a variety of subjects whenever possible.

Stansfield Turner, Washington, D.C.